Screening, Assessment, and Care of Anxiety and Depressive Symptoms in Adults with Cancer: An American Society of Clinical Oncology Guideline Adaptation
Introduction

• ASCO has established a process for adapting other organizations’ clinical practice guidelines

• This guideline adaptation summarizes the results of that process and presents recommendations

• Adapted from the Pan-Canadian guideline on Screening, Assessment and Care of Psychosocial Distress (Depression, Anxiety) in Adults with Cancer
ASCO Survivorship Guidelines

• Eighteen symptom topics identified and prioritized for guideline development by ASCO’s Cancer Survivorship Committee
  – Depression and Anxiety included
• Growing number of cancer survivors
• Institute of Medicine report calls for systematically developed evidence-based practice guidelines and tools
• ASCO has responded with steps to promote evidenced-based, comprehensive, compassionate, and coordinated survivorship care
Methods

• ASCO considers adaptation in selected circumstances
  – When one or more quality guidelines from other organizations already exist on the same topic

• ADAPTE Methodology
  – Take advantage of existing guidelines
  – Enhance efficiency and reduce duplication
  – Promote uptake of quality recommendations
Adaptation Process

- Literature search
  - Identify candidate guidelines
- Adapted guideline manuscripts reviewed by ASCO Clinical Practice Guidelines Committee (CPGC)
- Methodological review by a CPGC Methodology Subcommittee member and/or by ASCO senior guideline staff
  - 83.3% for methodological quality, only minor deviations from the ideal as reflected in the AGREE II items
- Content review by an ad hoc Panel convened by ASCO that includes multidisciplinary representation
- More information in online Methods Supplement: www.asco.org/adaptations/depression
Pan-Canadian Guideline: Clinical Questions and Target Population

- Clinical question: “What are the optimum screening, assessment and psychosocial-supportive care interventions for adults with cancer who are identified as experiencing symptoms of depression and/or anxiety?”
- Target population: adults (18+) with cancer at any stage of cancer continuum
- Focus: screening and assessment
  - Multidisciplinary
  - Does not address management
Pan-Canadian Guideline: Development Methodology and Key Evidence

• Systematic ADAPTE methodology with assessment of the quality of guidelines in accordance with AGREE II reporting convention
• Systematic search of clinical practice guideline databases, guideline developer websites and the published literature conducted to identify clinical practice guidelines, systematic reviews and other guidance documents that address screening, assessment and/or management of psychosocial distress (depression and anxiety) in adults with cancer
• Publish literature search current to December 2009
  – MEDLINE
  – EMBASE
  – CINAHL
  – Cochrane Library databases
Pan-Canadian Guideline:
Development Methodology and Key Evidence

• Systematic ADAPTE methodology with assessment of the quality of guidelines in accordance with AGREE II reporting convention
• Systematic search of clinical practice guideline databases, guideline developer websites and the published literature conducted
• Identified clinical practice guidelines, systematic reviews and other guidance documents that address screening, assessment and/or management of psychosocial distress (depression and anxiety) in adults with cancer
• Publish literature search current to December 2009
  – MEDLINE
  – EMBASE
  – CINAHL
  – Cochrane Library databases
Final Recommendations

Where identified by an ‘*‘, recommendations are verbatim from Pan Canadian guideline. Otherwise, recommendations are adapted.

**Screening for Depressive Symptoms**

- All patients should be screened for depressive symptoms at their initial visit, at appropriate intervals, and as clinically indicated, especially with changes in disease or treatment status (i.e., post-treatment, recurrence, progression) and transition to palliative and end-of-life care.
  
  – The Canadian Association of Psychosocial Oncology (CAPO) and the Canadian Partnership Against Cancer (the Partnership) guideline “Assessment of Psychosocial Health Care Needs of the Adult Cancer Patient” suggests screening at initial diagnosis, start of treatment, regular intervals during treatment, end of treatment, post-treatment or at transition to survivorship, at recurrence or progression, advanced disease, when dying, and during times of personal transition or re-appraisal such as family crisis, during post-treatment survivorship and when approaching death.*
Final Recommendations: Screening for Depressive Symptoms

• Screening should be done using a valid and reliable measure that features reportable scores (dimensions) that are clinically meaningful (established cut-offs). *

• When assessing a person who may have depressive symptoms, a phased screening and assessment is recommended that does not rely simply on a symptom count.
  – As a first step for all patients, identification of the presence or absence of pertinent history or risk factors (See Depression Algorithm.) is important for subsequent assessment and treatment decision making.
  – As a second step, two items from the PHQ-9 (Table 1) can be used to assess for the classic depressive symptoms of low mood and anhedonia. For individuals endorsing either item (or both) as occurring for more than half of the time or nearly every day within the last two weeks (i.e., a score of ≥ 2), a third step is suggested in which the patient completes the remaining items of the PHQ-9. It is estimated that 25-30% of patients would need to complete the remaining items.
Final Recommendations:

Screening for Depressive Symptoms

- For patients completing the latter step it is important to determine the associated sociodemographic, psychiatric or health comorbidities, or social impairments, if any, and the duration that depressive symptoms have been present.

- Of special note, one of remaining seven items of the PHQ-9 assesses thoughts of self harm, i.e., “Thoughts that you would be better off dead or hurting yourself in some way.” Among patients with moderate to severe or severe depression, such thoughts are not rare. Having noted that, it is the frequency and/or specificity of the thoughts that are most important vis-a-vis risk. Some clinicians/practices may choose to omit the item from the PHQ-9 and administer 8-items. It should be noted, however, that doing may artificially lower the score, with the risk of some patients appearing to have fewer symptoms than they actually do. Such changes also weaken the predictive validity of the score and the clarity of the cutoff scores. It is important to note that individuals do not typically endorse a self-harm item exclusively or independent of other symptom; rather, it occurs with several other symptom endorsements. Thus, it is the patient’s endorsement of multiple symptoms that will define the need for services for moderate/severe to severe symptomatology.
Final Recommendations: *Screening for Depressive Symptoms*

- Consider special circumstances in the assessment of depressive symptoms. These include but are not limited to the following: (a) use culturally sensitive assessments and treatments as is possible, (b) tailor assessment or treatment for those with learning disabilities or cognitive impairments, (c) be aware of the difficulty of detecting depression in the older adult.
Final Recommendations: Assessment of Depression Symptoms

- Specific concerns such as risk of harm to self and/or others, severe depression or agitation, or the presence of psychosis or confusion (delirium) require immediate referral to a psychiatrist, psychologist, physician, or equivalently trained professional.

- Assessments should be a shared responsibility of the clinical team, with designation of those who are expected to conduct assessments as per scope of practice. *

- The assessment should identify signs and symptoms of depression, the severity of cancer symptoms (e.g., fatigue), possible stressors, risk factors, and times of vulnerability. A range of problem checklists is available to guide the assessment of possible stressors. Examples of these are accessible at www.asco.org/adaptations/depression. Clinicians can amend checklists to include areas not represented or ones unique to their patient populations.
Final Recommendations:

Assessment of Depression Symptoms

- Patients should first be assessed for depressive symptoms using the Patient Health Questionnaire 9 (PHQ-9).8 (Table 1)
- Table 2 provides a list of other depressive symptom assessment measures, which can be used in follow up to the PHQ-98 or as alternatives. Table 2 was modified to only include measures of depression and/or anxiety symptoms only.
- If moderate to severe or severe symptomatology is detected through screening, individuals should have further diagnostic assessment to identify the nature and extent of the depressive symptoms and the presence or absence of a mood disorder.
Final Recommendations:  
Assessment of Depression Symptoms

• Medical or substance-induced causes of significant depressive symptoms (e.g., Interferon administration) should be determined and treated.

• As a shared responsibility, the clinical team must decide when referral to a psychiatrist, psychologist, or equivalently trained professional is needed. This includes, for example, all patients with a PHQ-9 score in the severe range or patients in moderate range but with pertinent history/risk factors. Such would be determined using measures with established reliability, validity, and utility (e.g., cut-off or normative data available) or standardized diagnostic interviews for assessment and diagnosis of depression.
Final Recommendations:  
*Treatment and Care Options for Depressive Symptoms*

• For any patient who is identified as at risk of harm to self and/or others, refer to appropriate services for emergency evaluation. Facilitate a safe environment and one-to-one observation, and initiate appropriate harm-reduction interventions to reduce risk of harm to self and/or others.

• First treat medical causes of depressive symptoms (e.g., unrelieved symptoms such as pain and fatigue) and delirium (e.g., infection or electrolyte imbalance). *

• For optimal management of depressive symptoms or diagnosed mood disorder use pharmacological and/or non-pharmacological interventions (e.g., psychotherapy, psycho-educational therapy, cognitive-behavioral therapy, and exercise) delivered by appropriately trained individuals. 11-21
Final Recommendations:

Treatment and Care Options for Depressive Symptoms

• These guidelines make no recommendations about specific antidepressant pharmacological regimens being better than another. The choice of an antidepressant should be informed by the side effect profiles of the medications, tolerability of treatment, including the potential for interaction with other current medications, response to prior treatment, and patient preference. Patients should be warned of any potential harm or adverse effects.

• Offer support and provide education and information about depression and its management to all patients and their families, including what specific symptoms and what degree of symptom worsening warrants a call to the physician or nurse.
Final Recommendations:

Treatment and Care Options for Depressive Symptoms

• Special characteristics of depressive disorders are relevant for diagnosis and treatment, including the following: \(^{17,18}\)
  
  – Many individuals (50-60%) with a diagnosed depressive disorder will have a comorbid anxiety disorder, with generalized anxiety being the most prevalent. \(^{22}\)
  
  – If an individual has comorbid anxiety symptoms or disorder(s), the route is usually to treat the depression first.
  
  – Some people have depression that does not respond to an initial course of treatment.
Final Recommendations:  
*Treatment and Care Options for Depressive Symptoms*

- It is recommended to use a stepped care model and tailor intervention recommendations based on variables such as the following:
  - Current symptomatology level and presence/absence of DSM-V diagnosis
  - Level of functional impairment in major life areas
  - Presence/absence of risk factors
  - History of and response to previous treatments for depression
  - Patient preference
  - Persistence of symptoms following receipt of an initial course of depression treatment
Final Recommendations:  
*Treatment and Care Options for Depressive Symptoms*

- Psychological and psychosocial interventions should derive from relevant treatment manuals for empirically supported treatments specifying the content and guiding the structure, delivery mode, and duration of the intervention.

- Use of outcome measures should be routine (minimally pre and post treatment) to a) gauge the efficacy of treatment for the individual patient; b) monitor treatment adherence; and, c) evaluate practitioner competence.
Final Recommendations:

Follow-Up and Re-assessment of Depressive Symptoms

• It is common for persons with depressive symptoms to lack the motivation necessary to follow through on referrals and/or to comply with treatment recommendations. With this in mind, on a bi-weekly or monthly basis, until symptoms have remitted:
  – Assess follow-through and compliance with individual or group psychological/psychosocial referrals, as well as satisfaction with these services.
  – Assess compliance with pharmacologic treatment, patient’s concerns about side effects, and satisfaction with the symptom relief provided by the treatment.
Final Recommendations:

Follow-Up and Re-assessment of Depressive Symptoms

– If compliance is poor, assess and construct a plan to circumvent obstacles to compliance, or discuss alternative interventions that present fewer obstacles.

– After 8 weeks of treatment, if symptom reduction and satisfaction with treatment are poor, despite good compliance, alter the treatment course (e.g., add a psychological or pharmacological intervention; change the specific medication; refer to individual psychotherapy if group therapy has not proved helpful).
Final Recommendations:  
**Screening for Anxiety**

- All health care providers should routinely screen for the presence of emotional distress and specifically symptoms of anxiety from the point of diagnosis onward. *

- All patients should be screened for distress at their initial visit, at appropriate intervals and as clinically indicated, especially with changes in disease status (i.e., post-treatment, recurrence, progression) and when there is a transition to palliative and end-of-life care.*

  - The Canadian Association of Psychosocial Oncology (CAPO) and the Canadian Partnership Against Cancer (the Partnership) guideline “Assessment of Psychosocial Health Care Needs of the Adult Cancer Patient” suggests screening at initial diagnosis, start of treatment, regular intervals during treatment, end of treatment, post-treatment or at transition to survivorship, at recurrence or progression, advanced disease, when dying, and during times of personal transition or re-appraisal such as family crisis, during post-treatment survivorship and when approaching death.*
Final Recommendations:  
**Screening for Anxiety**

- Screening should identify the level and nature (problems and concerns) of the distress as a red flag indicator. *
- Screening should be done using a valid and reliable tool that features reportable scores (dimensions) that are clinically meaningful (established cut-offs). *
- Anxiety disorders include specific phobias and social phobia, panic and agoraphobia, generalized anxiety disorder (GAD), obsessive compulsive disorder, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD).
- It is recommended that patients be assessed for generalized anxiety disorder, as it is the most prevalent of all anxiety disorders and it is commonly comorbid with others, primarily mood disorders or other anxiety disorders (e.g., social anxiety disorder). 22
Final Recommendations: 
**Screening for Anxiety**

- Use of the Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD)-7 scale (Table 1) is recommended. Table 2 provides a list of other assessment measures for symptoms of anxiety, nervousness, and GAD. Table 2 was modified to only include scales that measure depression and/or anxiety only.

- Patients with GAD do not necessarily present with symptoms of anxiety, per se. The pathognomonic GAD symptom, i.e., multiple excessive worries, may present as ‘concerns’ or ‘fears.’ Whereas cancer worries may be common for many, GAD worry or fear may be disproportionate to actual cancer-related risk (e.g., excessive fear of recurrence, worry about multiple symptoms or symptoms not associated with current disease or treatments). Importantly, an individual with GAG has worries about a range of other, non-cancer topics and areas of his/her life.
Final Recommendations:  
**Screening for Anxiety**

- It is important to determine the associated home, relationship, social, or occupational impairments, if any, and the duration that anxiety related symptoms. As noted above, problem checklists can be used. Examples of these are accessible at [www.asco.org/adaptations/depression](http://www.asco.org/adaptations/depression). Clinicians can amend the checklists to include additional key problem areas or ones unique to their patient populations.

- As with depressive symptoms, consider special circumstances in screening/assessment of anxiety including using culturally sensitive assessments and treatments and tailoring assessment or treatment for those with learning disabilities or cognitive impairments.
Final Recommendations:

Assessment of Anxiety

- Specific concerns such as risk of harm to self and/or others, severe anxiety or agitation, or the presence of psychosis or confusion (delirium) requires referral to a psychiatrist, psychologist, physician, or equivalently trained professional.

- When moderate to severe or severe symptomatology is detected through screening, individuals should have a diagnostic assessment to identify the nature and extent of the anxiety symptoms and the presence or absence of an anxiety disorder or disorders.

- Medical and substance-induced causes of anxiety should be diagnosed and treated.
Final Recommendations:  
Assessment of Anxiety

- As a shared responsibility, the clinical team must decide when referral to a psychiatrist, psychologist or equivalently trained professional is needed (i.e., all patients with a score in the moderate to severe or severe range, with certain accompanying factors and/or symptoms, identified using valid and reliable measures for assessment of symptoms of anxiety).

- Assessments should be a shared responsibility of the clinical team, with designation of those who are expected to conduct assessments as per scope of practice.*
Final Recommendations: Assessment of Anxiety

• The assessment should identify signs and symptoms of anxiety (e.g., panic attacks, trembling, sweating, tachypnea, tachycardia, palpitations, and sweaty palms), severity of symptoms, possible stressors (e.g., impaired daily living), risk factors and times of vulnerability, and should also explore underlying problems/causes.*

• A patient considered to have severe symptoms of anxiety following the further assessment should, where possible, have confirmation of an anxiety disorder diagnosis before any treatment options are initiated (e.g., DSM-V, which may require making a referral).
Final Recommendations:  
*Treatment and Care Options for Anxiety Symptoms*

• For any patient who is identified as at risk of harm to self and/or others, clinicians should refer to appropriately trained professionals for emergency evaluation. Facilitate a safe environment and one-to-one observation, and initiate appropriate harm-reduction interventions to reduce risk of harm to self and/or others.

• It is suggested that the clinical team making a patient referral for the treatment of anxiety review with the patient in a shared decision process, the reason(s) for and potential benefits from the referral. Further, it is suggested that the clinical team subsequently assess the patient’s compliance with the referral and treatment progress or outcomes.

• First treat medical causes of anxiety (e.g., unrelieved symptoms such as pain and fatigue) and delirium (e.g., infection or electrolyte imbalance).*
Final Recommendations: Treatment and Care Options for Anxiety Symptoms

• For optimal management of moderate to severe or severe anxiety, consider pharmacological and/or non-pharmacological interventions delivered by appropriately trained individuals. Management must be tailored to individual patients, who should be fully informed of their options.

• For a patient with mild to moderate anxiety, the primary oncology team may choose to manage the concerns by usual supportive care management.*

• The choice of an anxiolytic should be informed by the side effect profiles of the medications, tolerability of treatment, including the potential for interaction with other current medications, response to prior treatment and patient preference. Patients should be warned of any potential harm or adverse effects. Caution is warranted with respect to the use of benzodiazepines in the treatment of anxiety, specifically over the longer term. These medications carry an increased risk of abuse and dependence and are associated with side effects that include cognitive impairment. As a consequence, use of these medications should be time limited in accordance with established psychiatric guidelines.²⁴
Final Recommendations: 
*Treatment and Care Options for Anxiety Symptoms*

• Offer support and provide education and information about anxiety and its management to all patients and their families and what specific symptoms or symptom worsening warrant a call to the physician or nurse.

• It is recommended to use a stepped care model to tailor intervention recommendations based on variables such as the following:
  – Current symptomatology level and presence/absence of DSM-V diagnoses
  – Level of functional impairment in major life areas
  – Presence/absence of risk factors
  – Chronicity of GAD and response to previous treatments, if any
  – Patient preference
  – Persistence of symptoms following receipt of the current anxiety treatment
Final Recommendations: 
*Treatment and Care Options for Anxiety Symptoms*

- Offer support and provide education and information about anxiety and its management to all patients and their families and what specific symptoms or symptom worsening warrant a call to the physician or nurse.

- It is recommended to use a stepped care model to tailor intervention recommendations based on variables such as the following:
  - Current symptomatology level and presence/absence of DSM-V diagnoses
  - Level of functional impairment in major life areas
  - Presence/absence of risk factors
  - Chronicity of GAD and response to previous treatments, if any
  - Patient preference
  - Persistence of symptoms following receipt of the current anxiety treatment
Final Recommendations: 
*Treatment and Care Options for Anxiety Symptoms*

- Psychological and psychosocial interventions should derive from relevant treatment manuals of empirically supported treatments specifying the content and guiding the structure, delivery mode, and duration of the intervention. Use of outcome measures should be routine (minimally pre and post treatment) to a) gauge the efficacy of treatment for the individual patient; b) monitor treatment adherence; and c) evaluate practitioner competence.
Final Recommendations: 

Follow-Up and Re-assessment for Anxiety Symptoms

- As cautiousness and a tendency to avoid threatening stimuli are cardinal features of anxiety pathology, it is common for persons with symptoms of anxiety to not to follow through on potentially helpful referrals or treatment recommendations. With this in mind, it is recommended that the mental health professional or other member of the clinical team managing the patient’s anxiety, on a monthly basis or until symptoms have subsided:
  - Assess follow-through and compliance with individual or group psychological or psychosocial referrals, as well as satisfaction with the treatment.
  - Assess compliance with pharmacologic treatment, patient’s concerns about side effects, and satisfaction with the symptom relief provided by the treatment.
Final Recommendations:

Follow-Up and Re-assessment for Anxiety Symptoms

– Consider tapering the patient from medications prescribed for anxiety if symptoms are under control and if the primary environmental sources of anxiety are no longer present. Longer periods of tapering are often necessary with benzodiazepines, particularly with potent or rapidly eliminated medications.

– If compliance is poor, assess and construct a plan to circumvent obstacles to compliance, or discuss alternative interventions that present fewer obstacles.

– After 8 weeks of treatment, if symptom reduction and satisfaction with treatment are poor, despite good compliance, alter the treatment course (e.g., add a psychological or pharmacological intervention; change the specific medication; refer to individual psychotherapy if group therapy has not proved helpful).
Final Recommendations:

Follow-Up and Re-assessment for Anxiety Symptoms

– Consider tapering the patient from medications prescribed for anxiety if symptoms are under control and if the primary environmental sources of anxiety are no longer present. Longer periods of tapering are often necessary with benzodiazepines, particularly with potent or rapidly eliminated medications.

– If compliance is poor, assess and construct a plan to circumvent obstacles to compliance, or discuss alternative interventions that present fewer obstacles.

– After 8 weeks of treatment, if symptom reduction and satisfaction with treatment are poor, despite good compliance, alter the treatment course (e.g., add a psychological or pharmacological intervention; change the specific medication; refer to individual psychotherapy if group therapy has not proved helpful).
Additional Resources

• Additional Information including data supplements, evidence tables, and clinical tools and resources can be found at www.asco.org/adaptations/depression. Patient information is available there and at www.cancer.net.
Disclaimer

The information contained in, including but not limited to clinical practice guidelines and other guidance is based on the best available evidence at the time of creation and is provided by the American Society of Clinical Oncology, Inc. ("ASCO") to assist providers in clinical decision making. The information should not be relied upon as being complete or accurate, nor should it be considered as inclusive of all proper treatments or methods of care or as a statement of the standard of care. With the rapid development of scientific knowledge, new evidence may emerge between the time information is developed and when it is published or read. The information is not continually updated and may not reflect the most recent evidence. The information addresses only the topics specifically identified therein and is not applicable to other interventions, diseases, or stages of diseases. This information does not mandate any particular product or course of medical treatment. Further, the information is not intended to substitute for the independent professional judgment of the treating provider, as the information does not account for individual variation among patients. Recommendations reflect high, moderate or low confidence that the recommendation reflects the net effect of a given course of action. The use of words like "must," "must not," "should," and "should not" indicate that a course of action is recommended or not recommended for either most or many patients, but there is latitude for the treating physician to select other courses of action in certain cases. In all cases, the selected course of action should be considered by the treating provider in the context of treating the individual patient. Use of the information is voluntary. ASCO provides this information on an "as is" basis, and makes no warranty, express or implied, regarding the information. ASCO specifically disclaims any warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular use or purpose. ASCO assumes no responsibility for any injury or damage to persons or property arising out of or related to any use of this information or for any errors or omissions.